

**MYDDLE AND BROUGHTON PARISH COUNCIL  
MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD IN MYDDLE VILLAGE HALL ON  
WEDNESDAY JANUARY 5<sup>TH</sup>. 2011 AT 7.30PM.**

---

**Public Session:**

There were no members of the public present and no issues were raised.

---

**Present:**

Mr. R. Purslow (Chairman)  
Mrs. E. Hodge  
Mr. J. Heath  
Mr. R. Jones  
Mr. R. Jeffrey  
Mrs. T. Evans  
Mrs. L. Baker  
Mr. R. Bowden  
Mr. C. Ruck  
Mr. I. Gunton

**In Attendance:**

Mr. B. Williams (Shropshire Council Councillor).  
Mr. J. Wilson (Parish Clerk).

**10/56 Apologies:**

There were no apologies.

**10/57 Declaration of Personal or Prejudicial Interests:**

Mr. Ruck declared an interest in Item 8 on the agenda.

**10/58 Police Report:**

.A written report had been received which indicated that in the period since the last meeting the following offences had been recorded.

Burglary Dwelling – 2; Theft – 2; Malicious communication -1 (All in Myddle).

**10/59 Minutes of the meeting held on November 3rd. 2010**

The minutes of the meeting, having been circulated, were approved and signed by the Chairman as a true record.

**10/60 Matters Arising from last meeting:**1. Play Area – Litter (10/54(1)):

Clerk reported that he had discussed the problem with Mr. Woolley who confirmed that he had been away for two weeks which had included the school half –term holiday. He had collected the rubbish on his return.

2. Shropshire Regeneration Project (10/45):

It was decided not to hold an event in the Parish but to look again at the possibility of producing a Parish Plan. Agenda item for next meeting.

3. Overhanging hedge at Harmony Cottage (10/54(4)):

Clerk reported that he had written to the owner of the property but had not received a reply. Mr. Jones stated that he thought the owner spent most of the winter away from the house.

**4. Police activities for young people (10/46(4)):**

There had been no further report from the CSO on the planned activities. Clerk to discuss the situation with her.

**5. Car parked outside Broadway (10/54(6)):**

The car was still parked there. Clerk to report it again to the beat officer.

**6. Volunteer help with clearing stream in Myddle (10/54(2)):**

Clerk reported that RAF Shawbury would be prepared to help with the project and Mr. Jeffrey said that he had received an offer of voluntary help. Mr. Ruck offered to discuss the project with both parties.

**10/61 Accounts for Payment:**

It was resolved to pay the following accounts:

J. Wilson	Salary (Dec. /Jan.)	£449.31
J. Wilson	Expenses (Nov. /Dec.)	£108.22
Inland Revenue	(Dec. /Jan.)	£110.58
N.W.P.	Streetlight maintenance	£239.70
Nobridge Ltd.	Grass cutting	£136.28

**10/62 Financial Statement:**

A financial statement was tabled and approved.

**10/63 Budget Planning and Precept Planning 2011-2012:**

A detailed forecast was presented by the Clerk and accepted by Members. After due consideration it was unanimously agreed to keep the precept at the same level - £16,875.00.

**10/64 Applications for Grant Aid:**

Members agreed to make a donation of £75.00 to each of the following organisations: Severn Hospice; Macmillan Nursing; Marie Curie Cancer Care; West Shropshire Talking Newspaper; Hope House; North Shropshire Safety Scene and Citizens Advice Bureau.

**10/65 Planning Applications:**

- A. The following planning applications have been considered since the last meeting with no objections raised:
1. Sleaf Airfield – erection of additional hangar.
  2. Moss Farm, Lower Road, Harmer Hill – erection of agricultural storage building following demolition of existing building.
  3. Barns at Balderton, Myddle – conversion into two residential dwellings.
  4. Moss Farm, Lower Road, Harmer Hill – replacement of 11Kv overhead cable.
- B. The following application has been approved by Shropshire Council:  
Sleaf Airfield - erection of an aircraft hangar.

**10/66 Correspondence:**

The following correspondence was considered:

1. Shropshire Council – Consultation on draft supplementary planning document.  
Members considered in detail the document and were broadly supportive of the proposals. However there were a few issues which needed to be re-assessed and the Clerk was asked to bring these to the attention of the planning department at Shropshire Council.  
Please see attached appendix.

2. Playing Fields Association – ‘The Playing Field’.
3. Shrewsbury and Telford Hospitals – ‘A Healthier Future.’
4. Shropshire Council – Local Joint Committee Bulletin.
5. Shropshire Council – review of Community Working Team.
6. Shropshire NHS – details of public meetings to discuss the future of hospital services in Shropshire and Telford.
7. NALC – details of an additional public holiday on 29<sup>th</sup>. April 2011.

**10/67 Committee Reports:**

Shropshire Council – Local Joint Committee:

The Chairman reminded Members that the next meeting was being held in Harmer Hill Village Hall on January 25<sup>th</sup>.2011. The meeting was open to the public.

**10/68 Exchange of Additional Information:**

1. There had been a report of an excess of moles on Myddle Recreation Ground.  
Chairman to speak to the local mole catcher.
2. Mr. Ruck had received a report of overgrown vegetation on the bridleway leading up to Hillside and there was also a rail missing from the bridge over the stream  
Clerk to report to Mr. Phil Betts at Shropshire Council
3. A request had been received for the Parish Council to pay for replacement posts at the gateway to Myddle Pump.  
It was agreed that the Chairman should ask Mr. Phil Jones to investigate the problem and recommend appropriate action.
4. A telegraph pole was obstructing motorist’s view when accessing the main road at the top of Broughton Bank. Clerk to discuss with Highways Department.
5. Mrs. Baker suggested that the Village Pump in Harmer Hill needed painting.  
Chairman offered to do the work.
6. Mr. Jones asked for an agenda item at the next meeting to discuss local celebrations of Prince William’s wedding on 29<sup>th</sup>. April.
7. A number of reports of potholes across the road network. Clerk to report to Highways Department.
8. Chairman reported that a car had driven into one of Council streetlights by the old post office, causing considerable damage. Clerk to discuss this with the police to enable an insurance claim to be made.

**10/69 Date and Time of Next Meetings**

Wednesday March 2nd. at 7.30pm in Harmer Hill Village Hall.

**Minutes approved as a true record:**

**Signed: Russell Purslow Chairman**

**Date: March 2<sup>nd</sup>. 2011**

## **Appendix**

### **Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) on the Type and Affordability of Housing: Issues raised:**

#### **Page No 1 Paragraph 5:**

Should include

*'and sufficient space for parking two cars per household plus an adequate parking area for visitors'*

*This is stated on Page 11 Paragraph 2.10 for the construction of Residential and Care Homes*

#### **Page 2 Paragraph 7:**

Should read

*'affordable housing should be provided according to local needs where it can be shown to exist'*

#### **Page 4 Paragraph 19:**

*It is felt that the periods of time shown should be extended beyond the suggested six weeks and it is suggested that it should be twelve weeks for the Parish and a further twelve weeks for those within ten km radius*

#### **Page 10 Paragraph 2.5:**

Should state

*'...the Council will need to show that it has taken into account evidence that it has.....'*

#### **Page 12 Paragraph 2.14:**

The following should be included:

*'outside parking, service area and amenity space for occupants should be provided'*

#### **Page 13 Paragraph 2.23**

Suggest that this should be:

*'have lived in the property for five years'*

#### **Page 20 Paragraph 4.27:**

The default is too vague and leaves too much freedom to the Council

#### **Page 23 Paragraph 4.40:**

Not happy with the use of the word 'perpetuity' as this does not allow for changing circumstances. Much better to state *'as long as the need can be shown to exist'*

#### **Page 24 Paragraph 4.46**

There is no indication in the paper as to what happens if the Registered Provider goes into liquidation

**Page 29 Paragraph 5.24**

Not sure why there is a distinction between attached and detached garages.

**Page 38 Paragraph 5.66**

Seem to be a contradiction in terms and is difficult to clearly understand

Finally Members are of the opinion that any money raised under a section 106 agreement should be made available to the Parish where the development is taking place and used for the improvement of the infrastructure within that Parish